DINÂMIA'CET-IUL Working Papers and Reports Author and Referee Guidelines

DINÂMIA'CET-IUL Working Papers and Reports Author and Referee Guidelines

DINÂMIA'CET has two different sorts of publications: Working Papers and Reports.

Working papers:

Working Papers should be structured as a draft article, clearly defining its subject, goals, scientific layout, theoretical references, methodology, sample and/or database, key results and implications, and literature. The WP recommended structure includes:

- 1. Title:
- 2. Abstract, keywords and JEL Classification (or relevant classification, for areas other than economy);
- 3. Introduction;
- 4. Text body;
- 5. Conclusion;
- 6. Bibliographic references;
- 7. Appendix.

DINÂMIA'CET also accepts original book reviews. WPs are subject to double-blind peerreview. Final documents are available through our website and ISCTE-IUL's online repository.

Reports:

Reports are designed to publish work that does not meet the traditional structural standards of a scientific journal article. They may contain the results of eminently empirical research reports or books reviews. A Master's Thesis that is not structured as a scientific article may also be published as a Report (usually, only thesis with a minimum classification of '17/20' will be accepted). Approval of these documents for publication depends solely on the reading committee endorsement. This committee is constituted by the Reports co-ordinator, theses' supervisors or other expert academics.

Compulsory reference:

All Working Papers and Reports based on research projects must mention that information on the first page, including the project's full title, reference and funding, as a footnote.

Example:

This paper draws on the research carried out within the Project ENTSOCNET - Social networks, entrepreneurs and access to knowledge: the case of biotechnology and the IT industries, funded by FCT – Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia (POCI/ESC/60500/2004), Portugal.

GRAPHIC STANDARDS

PAGE LAYOUT - Default Microsoft Word document

Size: A4 (21cm x 29.7 cm)

MARGINS:

Top: 2.5 cm

Left: 3 cm

Bottom: 2.5 cm

Right: 3 cm

FONT SETTINGS:

Font: Times New Roman

Size: 11

PARAGRAPH:

Alignment: Justified/Line spacing: 1.5.

BULLETS AND NUMBERING:

Bullets: •

Numbering: a, b, c, d (or, if necessary, 1., 2., 3., 4.)

FIGURES AND TABLES:

General text font size: 9

Title and legend font size: 10

INDEX AND CHAPTERS* (Titles):

CHAPTER 1 – font size 12

SUB-CHAPTER 1.1 – font size 11

FOOTNOTES

Font: Tahoma, size 9, justified, simple spacing

BIBLIOGRAPHY (Example):

Fontes, M., Sousa, C., Videira, P., (2009), "Redes sociais e empreendedorismo em Biotecnologia: O processo de aglomeração em torno de núcleos de produção de conhecimento", *Finisterra* VII, Fevereiro, Lisboa, pp. 46-67.

^{*}Automatic indexes may be requested to DINÂMIA'CET.

Working Paper step-by-step process:

- **1.** Submissions to dinamia@iscte.pt;
- **2.** Papers will be forwarded to referees (this process is co-ordinated by the person in charge of WP publishing);
- 3. Response and amendments following reviewing (by authors), in Word format;
- **4.** Reception, editing and proofreading by DINÂMIA'CET staff. The paper is then attributed a number in the series when a final version is agreed upon;
- **5.** Public dissemination, upload onto DINÂMIA'CET-IUL website and ISCTE-IUL Repository and e-mailing of pdf copy to the author(s).

Report step-by-step process:

- **1.** Submissions to dinamia@iscte.pt;
- 2. Reports will be forwarded to the reading committee;
- **3.** Response and amendments following the reading committee's opinion (by authors), in Word format;
- **4.** Reception, editing and proofreading by DINÂMIA'CET staff. The Report is then attributed a number in the series when a final version is agreed upon;
- **5.** Public dissemination, upload onto DINÂMIA'CET-IUL website and ISCTE-IUL Repository and e-mailing of pdf copy to the author(s).

Referee recommendations:

- **1.** All DINÂMIA'CET researchers may be requested to review one or several Working Papers;
- **2.** Referees must pronounce upon the paper, mentioning suggestions and necessary changes, which should be sent to the publications co-ordinator in the Board;
- **3.** Referees pronouncement must contain one of the following reccomendations:
 - a. Accepted without changes (ready for publishing);
 - b. Accepted with minor changes;
 - c. Accepted with major changes, subject to another referee appraisal;
 - d. Rejected.
- **4.** In any of the abovementioned situations the referee must substantiate his/her decision and provide attainable improvement suggestions;
- **5.** The Working Paper reviewing process **should not exceed one month** starting from the date of the article's reception.